

On Nicely Smooth Banach Spaces

Pradipta Bandyopadhyay & Sudeshna Basu

STAT-MATH DIVISION, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE

203, B. T. ROAD, CALCUTTA 700 035, INDIA

e-mail : pradipta@isical.ernet.in & res9415@isical.ernet.in

Abstract

In this work, we obtain some necessary and some sufficient conditions for a space to be nicely smooth, and show that they are equivalent for separable or Asplund spaces. We obtain a sufficient condition for the Ball Generated Property (BGP), and conclude that Property (II) implies the BGP, which, in turn, implies the space is nicely smooth. We show that the class of nicely smooth spaces is stable under c_o and ℓ_p sums and also under finite ℓ_1 sums; that being nicely smooth is not a three space property; and that the Bochner L_p spaces are nicely smooth if and only if X is both nicely smooth and Asplund. A striking result obtained is that every equivalent renorming of a space is nicely smooth if and only if it is reflexive.

AMS Subject Classification (1990) : 46B20, 46B22.

Keywords and Phrases : Nicely smooth spaces, Ball Generated Property, Property (II).

We work with *real* Banach spaces. We will denote by $B(X)$, $S(X)$ and $B[x, r]$ respectively the closed unit ball, the unit sphere and the closed ball of radius $r > 0$ around $x \in X$.

Definition 1 We say $A \subseteq B(X^*)$ is a norming set for X if $\|x\| = \sup\{x^*(x) : x^* \in A\}$, for all $x \in X$. A subspace $F \subseteq X^*$ is a norming subspace if $B(F)$ is a norming set for X .

A Banach space X is *nicely smooth* if X^* contains no proper norming subspace; has the *Ball Generated Property* (BGP) if every closed bounded convex set in X is ball-generated, i.e., intersection of finite union of balls; has *Property (II)* if every closed bounded convex set in X is the intersection of closed convex hull of finite union of balls.

In this work, we obtain a sufficient condition for the BGP weaker than those considered earlier. We conclude that Property (II) implies the BGP, which, in turn, implies the space is nicely smooth. We obtain a few more conditions—some necessary, some sufficient—for a space to be nicely smooth, and show that they are equivalent for separable or Asplund spaces. We show that the class of nicely smooth spaces is stable under c_o and ℓ_p sums ($1 < p < \infty$) and also under finite ℓ_1 sums. We show that the Bochner L_p spaces ($1 < p < \infty$) are nicely smooth if and only if X is both nicely smooth and Asplund. A striking result obtained is that every equivalent renorming of a space is nicely smooth if and only if it is reflexive. This significantly strengthens all known results of similar type and has a surprisingly elementary proof. Some more stability results are also obtained.

Notice that if a separable space is nicely smooth then it has separable dual. And a dual space is nicely smooth if and only if it is reflexive.

For a Banach space X , let us denote by C_X , the set $\{x^{**} \in X^{**} : \|x^{**} + \hat{x}\| \geq \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in X\}$. Then by [8, Lemma I.1], $x^{**} \in C_X$ if and only if $\ker x^{**}$ is a norming subspace of X^* .

Proposition 1 *For a Banach space X , the following are equivalent :*

- (a) X is nicely smooth.
- (b) $C_X = \{0\}$.
- (c) For all $x^{**} \in X^{**}$,

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} B[x, \|x^{**} - x\|] = \{x^{**}\}$$

- (d) Every norming set $A \subseteq B(X^*)$ separates points of X^{**} .

Proof : Equivalence of (a) and (c) is [10, Lemma 2.4]. The rest are easy. ■

We now identify some necessary and some sufficient conditions for a space to be nicely smooth.

For $x \in S(X)$, let $D(x) = \{f \in S(X^*) : f(x) = 1\}$. The set valued map D is called the duality map and any selection of D is called a support mapping.

Proposition 2 *For a Banach space X , consider the following statements :*

- (a) X^* is the closed linear span of the w^* -weak PCs of $B(X^*)$.
- (b) Any two distinct points in X^{**} are separated by disjoint closed balls having centre in X .
- (c) X is nicely smooth.
- (d) For every norm dense set $A \subseteq S(X)$ and every support mapping ϕ , the set $\phi(A)$ separates points of X^{**} .

Then $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d)$.

Proof : $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ follows from [4, Theorem 3.1]. The rest are easy. ■

Remark 1 If we assume in addition that the w^* -weak PCs of $B(X^*)$ form a norming set, then (a) , (b) and (c) are equivalent. And under the stronger assumption that the set

$$\{x \in S(X) : D(x) \text{ intersects the } w^*\text{-weak PCs of } B(X^*)\}$$

is dense in $S(X)$, all the statements are equivalent.

Can any of the implications be reversed in general?

The following characterization of the BGP follows from the fact that X has the BGP if and only if every $x^* \in X^*$ is ball-continuous on $B(X)$ [9, Theorem 8.3] and [5, Theorem 1].

Theorem 3 *X has the BGP if and only if for every $x^* \in X^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists w^* -slices $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ of $B(X^*)$ such that for any $(x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^n S_i$, there are nonnegative scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ such that $\|x^* - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^*\| \leq \varepsilon$.*

Definition 2 A point x_o^* in a convex set $K \subseteq X^*$ is called a w^* -SCS point of K , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist w^* -slices $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ of K , and a convex combination $S = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_i$ such that $x_o^* \in S$ and $\text{diam}(S) < \varepsilon$.

Proposition 4 *If X^* is the closed linear span of the w^* -SCS points of $B(X^*)$, then X has the BGP.*

Proof : Let $x^* \in X^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the set of w^* -SCS points of $B(X^*)$ is symmetric and spans X^* , there exist w^* -SCS points $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*$ of $B(X^*)$, and positive scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ such that $\|x^* - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^*\| \leq \varepsilon/2$. By definition of w^* -SCS points, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exist w^* -slices $S_{i1}, S_{i2}, \dots, S_{in_i}$ of $B(X^*)$, and a convex combination $S_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{ik} S_{ik}$ such that $x_i^* \in S_i$ and $\text{diam}(S_i) < \varepsilon/(2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i)$. Now, for any $(x_{ik}^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^{n_i} S_{ik}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} a_i \lambda_{ik} x_{ik}^*\| &\leq \|x^* - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^*\| + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \|x_i^* - \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{ik} x_{ik}^*\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \text{diam}(S_i) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 3, X has the BGP. ■

Remark 2 This gives a weaker sufficient condition for the BGP than ones discussed in [3, Theorem 7]. See Corollary 6 below.

Corollary 5 *Property (II) implies the BGP, which, in turn, implies nicely smooth.*

Proof : Recall that X has Property (II) if and only if w^* -PCs of $B(X^*)$ are norm dense in $S(X^*)$ [4], and that a w^* -PC is necessarily a w^* -SCS point (this follows from Bourgain's Lemma, see e.g., [13, Lemma 1.5]). Thus, Property (II) implies the BGP.

That the BGP implies nicely smooth is proved in [9]. But here is an elementary proof.

Let F be a norming subspace of X^* . Then $B(X)$ is $\sigma(X, F)$ -closed, so that every ball-generated set is also $\sigma(X, F)$ -closed. But if every closed bounded convex set is $\sigma(X, F)$ -closed, then $F = X^*$. ■

Corollary 6 *If X is an Asplund space (or, separable), the following are equivalent :*

- (a) X^* is the closed linear span of the w^* -strongly exposed points of $B(X^*)$.
- (b) X^* is the closed linear span of the w^* -denting points of $B(X^*)$.
- (c) X^* is the closed linear span of the w^* -SCS points of $B(X^*)$.
- (d) X has the BGP.
- (e) X is nicely smooth, and all the conditions of Proposition 2 are equivalent.

Proof : Clearly, (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) $\Rightarrow$ nicely smooth, and (b) $\Rightarrow$ Proposition 2 (a). Now if X is Asplund (if X is separable, Proposition 2 (d) implies X^* is separable), then for $A = \{x \in S(X) : \text{the norm is Fréchet differentiable at } x\}$, and any support mapping ϕ , $\phi(A) = \{w^*\text{-strongly exposed points of } B(X^*)\}$. Hence, Proposition 2 (d) $\Rightarrow$ (a). ■

Remark 3 In this case, the assumptions of Remark 1 are satisfied.

The following result is immediate from [3, Theorem 12] or [9, Theorem 2.4 and 2.5].

Proposition 7 *If every separable subspace of X is nicely smooth, then X has the BGP, and hence, is nicely smooth.*

Definition 3 A Banach space X is said to satisfy finite intersection property (FIP) if every family of closed balls in X with empty intersection contains a finite subfamily with empty intersection.

It is well known that any dual space and its 1-complemented subspaces have FIP.

Theorem 8 *X is nicely smooth with FIP if and only if X is reflexive.*

Proof : Sufficiency is obvious.

For necessity, recall from [9, Theorem 2.8] that X has FIP if and only if $X^{**} = X + C_X$. Since X is nicely smooth, $C_X = \{0\}$ and consequently X is reflexive. ■

Remark 4 Since Hahn-Banach smooth spaces (spaces with Property (II)) are nicely smooth, Theorem 2.11 (Theorem 3.4) of [2] follow as immediate corollaries with much simpler proof.

Theorem 9 *A Banach space X is reflexive if and only if every equivalent renorming is nicely smooth.*

Proof : The converse being trivial, suppose X is not reflexive. Let $x^{**} \in X^{**} \setminus X$ and let $F = \{x^* \in X^* : x^{**}(x^*) = 0\}$. Define a new norm on X by

$$\|x\|_1 = \sup\{x^*(x) : x^* \in B(F)\} \quad \text{for } x \in X$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a norm on X with F as a proper norming subspace, and it follows from the proof of [9, Theorem 8.2] that this norm is equivalent to the original norm. ■

Remark 5 In [11] the authors showed that X is reflexive if and only if for any equivalent norm, X is Hahn-Banach smooth and has ANP-III. This was strengthened in [2] to just Hahn-Banach smooth (Corollary 2.5). The above is even stronger result with even easier proof.

Now we obtain some stability results for nicely smooth spaces.

Theorem 10 *Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be a family of Banach spaces. Then $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} X_\alpha$ ($1 < p < \infty$) is nicely smooth if and only if for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, X_α is nicely smooth.*

Proof : We will show that $C_X = \{0\}$ if and only if for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $C_{X_\alpha} = \{0\}$.

Now, $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} X_\alpha$ implies $X^{**} = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} X_\alpha^{**}$, and $x^{**} \in C_X$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{**} + \hat{x}\|_p &\geq \|x\|_p \text{ for all } x \in X \\ \iff \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \|x_\alpha^{**} + \hat{x}_\alpha\|^p &\geq \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \|x_\alpha\|^p \text{ for all } x \in X \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate that if for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $x_\alpha^{**} \in C_{X_\alpha}$, then $x^{**} \in C_X$. And hence, $C_X = \{0\}$ implies for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $C_{X_\alpha} = \{0\}$.

Conversely, suppose for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $C_{X_\alpha} = \{0\}$. Let $x^{**} \in X^{**} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\alpha_o \in \Gamma$ be such that $x_{\alpha_o}^{**} \neq 0$. Then $x_{\alpha_o}^{**} \notin C_{X_{\alpha_o}}$. Hence, there exists $x_{\alpha_o} \in X_{\alpha_o}$ such that $\|x_{\alpha_o}^{**} + \hat{x}_{\alpha_o}\| < \|x_{\alpha_o}\|$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|x_{\alpha_o}^{**} + \hat{x}_{\alpha_o}\|^p + \varepsilon < \|x_{\alpha_o}\|^p$. Then there exists a finite $\Gamma_o \subseteq \{\alpha \in \Gamma : x_\alpha^{**} \neq 0\}$ such that $\alpha_o \in \Gamma_o$ and $\sum_{\alpha \notin \Gamma_o} \|x_\alpha^{**}\|^p < \varepsilon$. If $\alpha \in \Gamma_o$, then $x_\alpha^{**} \notin C_{X_\alpha}$. Hence, there exists $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ such that $\|x_\alpha^{**} + \hat{x}_\alpha\| < \|x_\alpha\|$. Define $y \in X$ by

$$y_\alpha = \begin{cases} x_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in \Gamma_o \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{**} + \hat{y}\|_p^p &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \|x_\alpha^{**} + \hat{y}_\alpha\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma_o \\ \alpha \neq \alpha_o}} \|x_\alpha^{**} + \hat{x}_\alpha\|^p + \|x_{\alpha_o}^{**} + \hat{x}_{\alpha_o}\|^p + \sum_{\alpha \notin \Gamma_o} \|x_\alpha^{**}\|^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma_o \\ \alpha \neq \alpha_0}} \|x_\alpha\|^p + \|x_{\alpha_o}^{**} + \hat{x}_{\alpha_o}\|^p + \varepsilon \\
&< \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_o} \|x_\alpha\|^p = \|y\|_p^p
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $x^{**} \notin C_X$. ■

Remark 6 (a) The above argument also works for finite ℓ_1 (or ℓ_∞) sums and shows that if X is the ℓ_1 (or ℓ_∞) sum of $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$, then X is nicely smooth if and only if for every coordinate space X_i is so.

However, if Γ is infinite, $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_1} X_\alpha$ is never nicely smooth as $\bigoplus_{c_o} X_\alpha^*$ is a proper norming subspace of $X^* = \bigoplus_{\ell_\infty} X_\alpha^*$.

A similar argument also shows that being nicely smooth is not stable under infinite ℓ_∞ sums.

(b) Since Property (II) is not preserved under finite ℓ_1 sums [2, Proposition 3.7], such sums produce examples of nicely smooth spaces lacking Property (II).

We now show that being nicely smooth is stable under c_o sums.

Theorem 11 *Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be a family of Banach spaces. Then $X = \bigoplus_{c_o} X_\alpha$ is nicely smooth if and only if for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, X_α is nicely smooth.*

Proof : As before, we will show that $C_X = \{0\}$ if and only if for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $C_{X_\alpha} = \{0\}$.

Necessity is similar to that in Theorem 10.

Conversely, suppose for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $C_{X_\alpha} = \{0\}$. And let $x^{**} \in X^{**} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\alpha_o \in \Gamma$ be such that $x_{\alpha_o}^{**} \neq 0$. Then $x_{\alpha_o}^{**} \notin C_{X_{\alpha_o}}$. Hence, there exists $x_{\alpha_o} \in X_{\alpha_o}$ such that $\|x_{\alpha_o}^{**} + \hat{x}_{\alpha_o}\| < \|x_{\alpha_o}\|$. Triangle inequality shows that

for any $\lambda \geq 1$, $\|x_{\alpha_o}^{**} + \lambda \hat{x}_{\alpha_o}\| < \|\lambda x_{\alpha_o}\|$. Thus, replacing x_{α_o} by λx_{α_o} for some $\lambda \geq 1$, if necessary, we may assume $\|x_{\alpha_o}\| > \|x^{**}\|_{\infty}$.

Define $y \in X$ by

$$y_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} x_{\alpha_o} & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_o \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\|x^{**} + \hat{y}\|_{\infty} = \max\{\sup\{\|x_{\alpha}^{**}\|_{\alpha \neq \alpha_o}\}, \|x_{\alpha_o}^{**} + \hat{x}_{\alpha_o}\|\} < \|x_{\alpha_o}\| = \|y\|_{\infty}$$

whence $x^{**} \notin C_X$. ■

Corollary 12 *Being nicely smooth is not a three space property.*

Proof : Let $X = c$, the space of all convergent sequences with the sup norm. Recall that $c^* = \ell_1$ and that ℓ_1 acts on c as

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = a_0 \lim x_n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} x_n, \quad \mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_1, \quad \mathbf{x} = \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in c$$

It follows that $\{\mathbf{a} \in \ell_1 : a_0 = 0\}$ is a proper norming subspace for c .

Put $Y = c_o$. Then Y is nicely smooth and $\dim(X/Y) = 1$, so that X/Y is also nicely smooth. But, by above, X is not nicely smooth. ■

Recall that a closed subspace $M \subseteq X$ is said to be an M -summand if there is a projection P on X with range M such that $\|x\| = \max\{\|Px\|, \|x - Px\|\}$ for all $x \in X$. An easy modification of the arguments of [1, Proposition 2] shows that

Proposition 13 *If Y is a M -summand in X and X has the BGP, then so does Y .*

Theorem 14 *Let X be a Banach space, μ denote the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and $1 < p < \infty$. the following are equivalent :*

- (a) $L_p(\mu, X)$ has BGP.
- (b) $L_p(\mu, X)$ is nicely smooth.
- (c) X is nicely smooth and Asplund.

Proof : Clearly (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b).

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Since $L^q(\mu, X^*)$ is always a norming subspace of $L^p(\mu, X)^*$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and they coincide if and only if X^* has the RNP with respect to μ [6, Chapter IV], (b) implies X^* has the RNP, or, X is Asplund. Also for any norming subspace $F \subseteq X^*$, $L^q(\mu, F)$ is a norming subspace of $L^p(\mu, X)^*$. Hence, (b) also implies X is nicely smooth.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). If X is nicely smooth and Asplund, by Corollary 6, X^* is the closed linear span of the w^* -denting points of $B(X^*)$. And it suffices to show that $L^p(\mu, X)^* = L^q(\mu, X^*)$ is the closed linear span of the w^* -denting points of $B(L^q(\mu, X^*))$.

Let $F = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i^* \chi_{A_i}$ with $x_i^* \in S(X^*)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be a simple function in $S(L^q(\mu, X^*))$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Now, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exists $\lambda_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}$, and x_{ik}^* , w^* -denting points of $B(X^*)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, such that $\|x_i^* - \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_{ik} x_{ik}^*\| < \varepsilon$. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Define

$$F_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \lambda_{ik} x_{ik}^* \chi_{A_i}$$

Since each x_{ik}^* is a w^* -denting point of $B(X^*)$, for each k , $F_k / \|F_k\|$ is a w^* -denting point of $B(L^q(\mu, X^*))$ [1, Lemma 10]. And,

$$\|F - \sum_{k=1}^N F_k\|_q^q = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i^* \chi_{A_i} - \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \lambda_{ik} x_{ik}^* \chi_{A_i} \right\|_q^q$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|^q \|x_i^* - \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_{ik} x_{ik}^*\|^q \mu(A_i) \\
&< \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon^q |\alpha_i|^q \mu(A_i) \leq \varepsilon^q \|F\|_q^q \leq \varepsilon \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

The following results closely parallel the corresponding results in [2]. We include the proofs for completeness.

Proposition 15 *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space, then $C(K, X)$ is nicely smooth if and only if K is finite and X is nicely smooth.*

Proof : For a compact Hausdorff space K and a Banach space X , the set

$$A = \{\delta(k) \otimes x^* : k \in K, x^* \in S(X^*)\} \subseteq B(C(K, X)^*)$$

is a norming set for $C(K, X)$. So, if $C(K, X)$ is nicely smooth, $C(K, X)^* = \overline{\text{span}}(A)$. It follows that K admits no nonatomic measure, whence K is scattered. Now, let K' denote the set of isolated points of K . Then K' is dense in K , so, the set

$$A' = \{\delta(k) \otimes x^* : k \in K', x^* \in S(X^*)\}$$

is also norming. Thus, $C(K, X)^* = \overline{\text{span}}(A')$. But if $k \in K \setminus K'$, then for any $x^* \in S(X^*)$, $\delta(k) \otimes x^* \notin \overline{\text{span}}(A')$. Hence, $K = K'$, whence K must be finite. And if $k_o \in K'$, $x \rightarrow \chi_{\{k_o\}} x$ is an isometric embedding of X into $C(K, X)$ as an M -summand. Thus, X is nicely smooth.

The converse is immediate from Theorem 11. ■

Remark 7 (a) It is immediate that for $C(K)$ spaces Property (II), the BGP and being nicely smooth (indeed, any of the conditions of Proposition 2) are equivalent, and are equivalent to reflexivity.

(b) It follows from the above and [2, Theorem 3.9] that $C(K, X)$ has Property (II) if and only if K is finite and X has Property (II).

Proposition 16 *Let X be a Banach space such that there exists a bounded net $\{K_\alpha\}$ of compact operators such that $K_\alpha \longrightarrow Id$ in the weak operator topology. If $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is nicely smooth, then X is finite dimensional.*

Proof : For $x \in X$, $x^* \in X^*$, let $x \otimes x^*$ denote the functional defined on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ by $(x \otimes x^*)(T) = x^*(T(x))$. Then $\|x \otimes x^*\| = \|x\|\|x^*\|$. And, since $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x^*\|=1, \|x\|=1} (x^*(T(x))) = \sup_{\|x^*\|=1, \|x\|=1} (x \otimes x^*)(T)$, it follows that $A = \{x \otimes x^* : \|x^*\| = 1, \|x\| = 1\}$ is a norming set, and hence, $\mathcal{L}(X)^* = \overline{\text{span}}(A)$.

Claim : $K_\alpha \longrightarrow Id$ weakly.

Since $\{K_\alpha\}$ is bounded, it now suffices to check that $K_\alpha \longrightarrow Id$ on A , i.e., to check $x^*(K_\alpha(x)) \longrightarrow x^*(x)$ for all $\|x\| = 1$, $\|x^*\| = 1$. But, $K_\alpha(x) \longrightarrow x$ weakly, hence the claim.

Thus, Id is a compact operator, so that X is finite dimensional. ■

Proposition 17 *If $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ is nicely smooth, then X is finite dimensional.*

Proof : Since $\mathcal{L}(X^*) = (X \otimes_\pi X^*)^*$ (i.e., the projective tensor product of X and X^*) is a nicely smooth dual space, it is reflexive. But then X and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ are reflexive too. It follows from [12, Theorem 2] that X must be separable, and hence it admits a Marshukevich basis. The corresponding finite rank projections produce a bounded sequence of compact operators converging to Id in the weak operator topology. Now an argument similar to Proposition 16 shows that X is finite dimensional. ■

Proposition 18 *For a compact Hausdorff space K , $\mathcal{L}(X, C(K))$ is nicely smooth if and only if $\mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$ is nicely smooth if and only if X is reflexive and K is finite.*

Proof : Suppose $\mathcal{L}(X, C(K))$ is nicely smooth. By definition of the norm, $A = \{\delta(k) \otimes x : x \in B(X), k \in K\}$ is a norming set for $\mathcal{L}(X, C(K))$, and hence, $\mathcal{L}(X, C(K))^* = \overline{\text{span}}(A)$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}(X, C(K)) = \mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$ and that $\mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$ is nicely smooth.

Now, from the easily established identification, $\mathcal{K}(X, C(K)) = C(K, X^*)$ and Proposition 15, it follows that $\mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$ is nicely smooth if and only if K is finite and X^* is nicely smooth, which, in turn, is equivalent to K is finite and X is reflexive.

Also, if K is finite, $C(K)$ is finite dimensional, so that $\mathcal{L}(X, C(K)) = \mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$. This completes the proof. ■

References

- [1] P. Bandyopadhyay and A. K. Roy, *Some Stability Results for Banach Spaces with the Mazur Intersection Property*, Indagatione Math. New Series **1**, No. 2, (1990), 137–154.
- [2] Sudeshna Basu and TSSRK Rao, *Some Stability Results for Asymptotic Norming Properties of Banach Spaces*, ISI Tech. Report No. 1/96, (1996) (communicated).
- [3] D. Chen, Z. Hu and B. L. Lin, *Balls intersection properties of Banach Spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **45**, (1992), 333–342.
- [4] D. Chen and B. L. Lin, *Ball Separation Properties in Banach spaces*, Preprint (1995).

- [5] D. Chen and B. L. Lin, *Ball Topology of Banach spaces*, Preprint (1995).
- [6] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys **15**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. (1977).
- [7] G. Godefroy, *Nicely Smooth Banach Spaces*, Longhorn Notes, The University of Texas at Austin, Functional Analysis Seminar (1984–85), 117–124.
- [8] G. Godefroy, *Existence and Uniqueness of Isometric Preduals : A Survey*, Contemp. Math., **85**, (1989), 131–193.
- [9] G. Godefroy and N. J. Kalton, *The ball topology and its application*, Contemp. Math., **85**, (1989), 195–237.
- [10] G. Godefroy and P. D. Saphar, *Duality in spaces of operators and Smooth norms on Banach Spaces*, Illinois J. Math., **32**, (1988), 672–695.
- [11] Z. Hu and B. L. Lin, *Smoothness and Asymptotic Norming Properties in Banach Spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **45**, (1992), 285–296.
- [12] N. J. Kalton, *Spaces of Compact Operators*, Math. Ann., **108**, (1974), 267–278.
- [13] H. Rosenthal, *On the Structure of Non-dentable Closed Bounded Convex Sets*, Advances in Math. **70**, (1988), 1–58.